Application/Control Number: 10/540,811 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species 1 is represented by Figure 1.

Species 2 is represented by Figure 2.

Species 3 is represented by Figure 3.

Species 4 is represented by Figure 4.

Species 5 is represented by Figure 5.

Species 6 is represented by Figure 6a.

Species 7 is represented by Figure 6b.

Species 8 is represented by Figure 7.

Species 9, not shown in the drawings, is an embodiment wherein the handle is slidably held and the pick-up element is swivellably held and the pick-up element is at least one of a lever and a cam (claim 22 depending from claim 21).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/540,811

Art Unit: 3723

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 16, 27, 28 and 29 read on Species 1.

Claims 18, 19, 27, 28 and 30 read on Species 2.

Claims 18, 20, 27, 28 and 31 read on Species 3.

Claims 18, 21, 23, 27, 28 and 32 read on Species 4.

Claims 18, 24, 27, 28 and 33 read on Species 5.

Claims 25, 26 and 36 read on Species 6.

Claims 25, 26 and 36 read on Species 7.

Claims 17, 27, 28 and 34 read on Species 8. Claims 19, 22, 27 and 28 read on Species 9.

The following claim(s) are generic: 14, 15 and 35.

- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each Species includes different structure to move the pick-up element in response to movement of the handle, thus each Species functions in a different manner and therefore, lack any common corresponding special technical feature with any of the other species.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/540,811

Art Unit: 3723

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan R. Muller whose telephone number is (571) 272-4489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday and second Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,811 Page 5

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bryan R Muller/ Examiner, Art Unit 3723 11/7/2007